

### Interview Summary

Application No.

09/588,411

Applicant(s)

WOLFF ET AL.

Examiner

AMELIA RUTLEDGE

Art Unit

2176

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amelia Rutledge- USPTO

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Kent Stier- applicant's representative

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 13 March 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Telephone call to Kent Stier was returned with authorization for examiner's amendment to correct the Rule 312 Amendment after notice of allowance which was filed 01/02/2009, which did not contain a complete listing of the claims. This examiner's amendment reproduces the previous listing of claims filed 08/29/2008.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Amelia Rutledge/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2176